

## UNITED STATE EPARTME Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/828,417	03/28/97	MIRASAKI		Н	P970:	2-MG
<u> </u>		መዘብማማ ረብ ተጠማ	·· ¬.	EXAMINER		
' QM32/0109 ' QM32/0109 LACKENBACH SIEGEL MARZULLO ARONSON				HOTALING,J		
& GREENSPAN				ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE CHASE RO SCARSDALE NY		•		3713		14
				DATE MA		19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Advisory Action

Application No. 08/828,417

Applicant(s)

. . . . .

Mirasaki et al.

Examiner

John M. Hotaling II

Group Art Unit 3713

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Dec 18, 2000</u> has been considered with the following eff but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ect,
The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in both or force force to be a positive force	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	—- —-
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	  a
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>□ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition</li> </ul>	_
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	_
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:	_
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  To purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:	_
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:	_
Newly proposed or amended claims	_
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:	_
Newly proposed or amended claims	_
Newly proposed or amended claims	_